

[USPTO PATENT FULL-TEXT AND IMAGE DATABASE](#)[Home](#)[Quick](#)[Advanced](#)[Pat Num](#)[Help](#)[Bottom](#)[View Cart](#)[Add to Cart](#)[Images](#)

(1 of 1)

United States Patent
Dilmore , et al.**7,537,727**
May 26, 2009

Eglin steel--a low alloy high strength composition

Abstract

The present invention relates to a low alloy, low to medium carbon content, high strength, and high ductility steel composition. The present invention contains relatively low nickel content, yet exhibits high performance characteristics and is manufactured at a substantially lower cost than alloy compositions containing high levels of nickel.

Inventors: Dilmore; Morris (Baker, FL), Ruhlman; James D. (Santa Rosa Beach, FL)**Assignee:** Ellwood National Forge Company (Irvine, PA)**Family ID:** 32829785**Appl. No.:** 10/761,472**Filed:** January 21, 2004**Prior Publication Data****Document Identifier**

US 20040250931 A1

Publication Date

Dec 16, 2004

Related U.S. Patent Documents**Application Number**

60444261

60442334

Filing Date

Jan 31, 2003

Jan 24, 2003

Patent Number**Issue Date****Current U.S. Class:****420/114; 148/663; 420/105****Current CPC Class:**C21D 1/18 (20130101); C21D 1/28 (20130101); C21D 1/78 (20130101);
C21D 9/16 (20130101); C22C 38/02 (20130101); C22C 38/04 (20130101);
C22C 38/06 (20130101); C22C 38/42 (20130101); C22C
38/44 (20130101); C22C 38/46 (20130101); C21D 1/19 (20130101);
C21D 1/58 (20130101); C21D 1/613 (20130101)**Current International Class:**

C22C 38/22 (20060101); C21D 6/00 (20060101)

Field of Search:

;148/320,335,333,334,663 ;420/109,113,111,114,106

References Cited [\[Referenced By\]](#)

U.S. Patent Documents

2031904	February 1936	Rittershausen et al.
2942339	June 1960	Lyon
3068095	December 1962	Anthony, III et al.
3574602	April 1971	Gondo et al.
RE28523	August 1975	Hill et al.
4076525	February 1978	Little et al.
4102711	July 1978	Kunitake et al.
4170497	October 1979	Thomas et al.
4170499	October 1979	Thomas et al.
4203782	May 1980	Yamada et al.
4655852	April 1987	Rallis et al.
4729872	March 1988	Kishida et al.
4784704	November 1988	Manton
4832909	May 1989	Schmidt et al.
5019459	May 1991	Chou et al.
5180450	January 1993	Rao
5182079	January 1993	Nelson
5288455	February 1994	Siga et al.
5292384	March 1994	Klueh et al.
5305505	April 1994	Ruhlman
5454883	October 1995	Yoshie et al.
5616187	April 1997	Nelson
5695576	December 1997	Beguinot
5746843	May 1998	Miyata et al.
5766376	June 1998	Hasegawa et al.
6146033	November 2000	Chang et al.
6186072	February 2001	Hickerson, Jr. et al.
6402863	June 2002	Spencer
6423155	July 2002	Chang et al.
6494970	December 2002	Ishii et al.

Foreign Patent Documents

1 091 010	Nov 2001	EP
09-194998	Jul 1997	JP
9004845	Jun 1990	KR
WO 2004/061145	Jul 2004	WO

Other References

ASM Internation, Material Park Ohio, Metallographer's Guide; Practices and Procedures for Irons and Steels, Chapter 1, "Introduction to Steels and Cast Irons", p. 3, Table 1.1, 1990. cited by examiner.

Primary Examiner: Sheehan; John P.

Assistant Examiner: Roe; Jesse R.

Attorney, Agent or Firm: K&L Gates LLP

Government Interests

GOVERNMENT INTERESTS

The present invention was made in the course of a contract with the Department of the Air Force, and may be manufactured and used by or for the Government of the United States for all governmental purposes without the payment of a royalty. The Government may have rights in this invention.

Parent Case Text

RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims benefit to U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/442,334, entitled "Eglin Steel--A Low Alloy High Strength Composition," filed Jan. 24, 2003 and to U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/444,261, also entitled "Eglin Steel--A Low Alloy High Strength Composition," filed Jan. 31, 2003 with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Claims

We claim:

1. An alloy steel in weight percentage consisting of from about 0.16% to about 0.35% carbon, about 0.85% maximum manganese, an amount of silicon up to about 1.25% maximum, about 1.50% to about 3.25% chromium, about 5.00% maximum nickel, about 0.55% maximum molybdenum, 1.17% to about 3.25% tungsten, about 0.05% to about 0.30% vanadium, about 0.50% maximum copper, about 0.015% maximum phosphorous, about 0.012% maximum sulfur, about 0.02% maximum calcium, about 0.14% maximum nitrogen, about 0.05% maximum aluminum, and balance consisting essentially of iron, wherein said alloy steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of 233-270 ksi, Charpy V-notch impact strength of 20-43 ft-lb at -40.degree. F. and a ductility low rate strain-to-failure of 16.6 to about 18.4%.
2. The alloy steel recited in claim 1 wherein said steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of about 244 ksi.
3. The alloy steel recited in claim 1 wherein said steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of 234 ksi.
4. The alloy steel recited in claim 1 wherein said steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of about 270 ksi.
5. The alloy steel recited in claim 1 wherein said steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of about 248 ksi.
6. A bomb casing material comprising the alloy steel in weight percentage as in claim 1.
7. An alloy steel in weight percentage consisting of about 0.28% carbon, about 0.74% manganese, about 0.012% phosphorus, about 0.003% sulfur, about 1.03% nickel, about 2.75% chromium, about 0.011% aluminum, about 1.17% tungsten, about 1% silicon, about 0.36% molybdenum, about 0.0073% nitrogen, about 0.06% vanadium, about 0.1% copper, about 0.02% calcium, and balance essentially iron, wherein said alloy steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of 233- 270 ksi and Charpy V-notch impact strength of 20-43 ft-lb at -40.degree. F.
8. The alloy steel recited in claim 7 wherein said steel has an ultimate tensile strength level of about 247 ksi.
9. A bomb casing material comprising the alloy steel in weight percentage as in claim 7.
10. An alloy steel in weight percentage consisting of about 0.28% carbon, an amount of manganese up to about 0.85% maximum, about 1.00% silicon, about 1.50% to about 3.25% chromium, about 1.03% nickel, an

16. A bomb casing material comprising the alloy steel in weight percentage as in claim 15.

The present invention overcomes the existing need in the prior art by providing a low alloy, low to medium carbon content, and low nickel content steel composition, which exhibits the same desirable high performance characteristics of high strength steel compositions known in the prior art and which can be produced according to current "state-of-the-art" production techniques at substantially lower cost (ladle melting and refining versus vacuum melting and refining). The low carbon and low alloy content makes the steel composition of the present invention more easily welded and more easily heat-treated. Current bomb case materials are not generally weldable, whereas the bomb case material disclosed herein welds very easily. Weldability will increase the options for manufacturing bomb cases and, as a result, should significantly reduce overall production costs for this type of application.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a low alloy, high strength steel composition that has a relatively low nickel content.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide a high performance steel composition that avoids the high production costs associated with high alloys.

The foregoing and other features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent in light of the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments thereof. While the invention will be described in connection with one or more preferred embodiments, it will be understood that it is not intended to limit the invention to those embodiments. On the contrary, it is intended that the invention cover all alternatives, modifications and equivalents as may be included within its spirit and scope as defined by the appended claims.

The present invention is directed to a low alloy, low to medium carbon content, high strength, and high ductility steel composition termed "Eglin steel." Eglin steel contains a relatively low nickel content, yet exhibits high performance characteristics. Eglin steel, furthermore, is manufactured at a substantially lower cost than alloy compositions containing high levels of nickel.

TABLE-US-00001 TABLE 1 Element Weight % Carbon (C) 0.16-0.35% Manganese (Mn) 0.85% Maximum Silicon (Si) 1.25% Maximum Chromium (Cr) 1.50-3.25% Nickel (Ni) 5.00% Maximum Molybdenum (Mo) 0.55% Maximum Tungsten (W) 0.70-3.25% Vanadium (V) 0.05-0.30% Copper (Cu) 0.50% Maximum Phosphorous (P) 0.015% Maximum Sulfur (S) 0.012% Maximum Calcium (Ca) 0.02% Maximum Nitrogen (N) 0.14% Maximum Aluminum (Al) 0.05% Maximum Iron (Fe) Balance

The alloy of the present invention can be manufactured by the following processes: (i) Electric Arc, Ladle Refined and Vacuum Treated; (ii) Vacuum Induction Melting; (iii) Vacuum Arc Re-Melting; and/or (iv) Electro Slag Re-Melting. The use of the end item will dictate the manufacturing process that should be applied. As an example, a limited use and low liability item is manufactured by using only the Electric Arc, Ladle Refined and Vacuum Treated manufacturing process. In another example, a medium use and medium liability item is manufactured by using either the Electric Arc, Ladle Refined and Vacuum Treated process or the Electric Arc, Ladle Refined, Vacuum Treated plus Vacuum Arc Re-Melting process. The Electric Arc, Ladle Refined, Vacuum Treated plus Electro Slag Re-Melting may also be included. In yet another example, a high use and high liability item such as an airframe component requires the Vacuum Induction Melting process, the Vacuum Arc Re-Melting process, or the Vacuum Induction Melting process, Vacuum Arc Re-Melting process and the Electro Slag Re-Melting manufacturing process. As the liability and number of manufacturing processes increase, the cost also increases. End products made from Eglin steel can be produced using open die forging, close die forging, solid or hollow extrusion methods, static or centrifugal castings, continuous casting, plate rolling, bar rolling or other conventional methods.

EXAMPLE 1

TABLE-US-00002 TABLE 2 Element C Mn P S Ni Cr Al W Si Mo N V Cu Ca Weight % .28 .74 .012 .003 1.03 2.75 .011 1.17 1.00 .36 .0073 .06 .10 .02

The following tests were conducted: tensile strength, yield strength, elongation, reduction of area, Charpy V-Notch Impact, and the Hardness Rockwell C-scale. The results of these tests are depicted in Table 3, below.

<https://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO2&Sect2=HITOFF&p=1&u=%2Fmetahtml%2FPTO%2Fsearch-adv.htm&r=...> 6/8

HRHT HRHT LR LR LR CI CI Composi- UTS YTS STF UTS YTS STF UTS YTS STF Hardness RT
 -40.degree. F. tion ksi ksi % ksi ksi % ksi ksi % Rc ft. lbs ft. lb. ES-1 263.7 224.5 16.6 215.7 191.4 15.9
 246.7 193.9 18.4 45.6 56.2 42.7 std. dev. 3.1 4.0 0.3 3.6 7.0 0.7 1.4 2.2 0.4 0.1 2.6 0.3 ES-2 261.2 231.9 15.5
 216.1 197.4 15.1 244.4 201.9 17.5 46.6 27.3 20.0 std. dev. 2.0 3.3 0.3 7.1 6.0 0.6 1.0 0.2 0.3 0.2 1.9 1.0 ES-3
 247.5 218.4 16.6 202.6 187.8 16.0 233.6 186.4 18.0 45.4 44.8 21.3 std. dev. 3.4 3.5 0.5 2.0 2.7 1.0 0.7 1.1 0.2
 0.2 2.8 3.9 ES-4 264.3 229.0 16.3 218.4 198.0 16.0 248.3 199.1 17.5 46.5 39.6 24.2 std. dev. 1.6 4.5 0.4 1.2
 2.1 0.8 1.4 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.6 3.6 ES-5 291.9 244.8 15.1 233.3 210.6 15.2 270.2 216.0 16.6 48.3 26.2 22.3 std.
 dev. 0.8 5.5 0.5 2.1 0.5 0.3 1.1 1.6 0.3 0.18 2.2 0.8 HR UTS-High Rate Ultimate Tensile Strength HR YTS-
 High Rate Yield Tensile Strength HR STF-High Rate Strain-To-Failure HRHT UTS-High Rate High
 Temperature (900.degree. F.) Ultimate Tensile Strength HRHT YTS-High Rate High Temperature
 (900.degree. F.) Yield Tensile Strength HRHT STF-High Rate High Temperature (900.degree. F.) Strain-To-
 Failure LR UTS-Low Rate Ultimate Tensile Strength LR YTS-Low Rate Ultimate Yield Strength LR STF-
 Low Rate Strain-To-Failure Hardness Rockwell "C" CI, RT-Charpy "V" Notch Impact @ Room Temperature
 CI, -40.degree. F.-Charpy "V" Notch Impact @ -40.degree. F.

EXAMPLE 2-5

Sample heats of the Eglin steel alloy composition of the present invention were produced according to the composition ranges in Table 1 above. The samples were thermal processed according to the following processes.

EXAMPLE 2

First, the samples were normalized by: (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 900.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1725-1775.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 1750.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature. Second, the samples were austenitized by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 900.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1675-1725.degree. F.; and (iii) holding the samples at 1700.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size. Next, the samples were helium or nitrogen gas quenched to below 125.degree. F. Lastly, the samples were tempered by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 100.degree. F. per hour to about 490-510.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 500.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature.

EXAMPLE 3

First, the samples were normalized by: (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 125.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1725-1775.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 1750.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature. Second, the samples were austenitized by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 125.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1675-1725.degree. F.; and (iii) holding the samples at 1700.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size. Next, the samples were quenched by (i) still air cooling the samples to about 975-1025.degree. F.; and (ii) oil quenching the samples to below 125.degree. F. Lastly, the samples were tempered by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 100.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 490-510.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 500.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature.

EXAMPLE 4

First, the samples were normalized by: (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 900.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1725-1775.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 1750.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature. Second, the samples were austenitized by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 900.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1675-1725.degree. F.; and (iii) holding the samples at 1700.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size. Next, the samples were quenched by (i) simulating air-cooling the samples with helium or nitrogen to about 975-1025.degree. F.; and (ii) helium or nitrogen gas quenching the samples to below 125.degree. F. Lastly, the samples were tempered

EXAMPLE 5

First, the samples were normalized by: (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 125.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1725-1775.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 1750.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) allowing the samples to cool in air at room temperature. Second, the samples were austenitized by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 125.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 1675-1725.degree. F.; and (iii) holding the samples at 1700.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size. Next, the samples were quenched by (i) still air cooling the samples to about 975-1025.degree. F.; and (ii) water quenching the samples to below 125.degree. F. Lastly, the samples were tempered by (i) charging the samples into a furnace below 500.degree. F.; (ii) heating the samples at 100.degree. F. maximum per hour to about 490-510.degree. F.; (iii) holding the samples at 500.degree. F. for 1 hour per inch of section size; and (iv) cooling the samples in air at room temperature.

In addition to the specific examples noted above, it has been found that improved mechanical properties and/or process efficiencies can be realized by one or more of the following: (i) eliminating the normalizing operation, (ii) varying austenitization times and temperatures, (iii) quenching in a variety of media, including water, polymer solutions oil, pressurized nitrogen or helium, and air, (iv) varying tempering temperatures from about 300-600.degree. F., and (v) varying tempering times.

Various modifications of the present invention in addition to those shown and described herein will be apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description. Such modifications are also intended to fall within the scope of the appended claims.

* * * * *

